


## REMARKS AND ARGUMENTS

Claims 9 and 10 are pending in the present application, and were allowed in the final Office Action. Claims 1-8 have been cancelled, and claim 9 has been amended. Support for the amendment is found in the specification at page 3, lines 10-13.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present amendment does not affect the allowability of claim 9. The Office Action mailed January 5, 2007 stated that claim 9 was not rejected over prior art because the prior art did not teach one "to carry out the steps recited in claim 6 in the specifically recited order along with recycle of ROH" (recited in original claim 9). The currently deleted language in claim 9, part c) was derived originally from claim 7 when claim 9 was rewritten as an independent claim. Therefore, the present amendment does not alter any of the claim limitations which made claim 9 allowable.

Applicant believes that the foregoing amendment has not raised any new issues, and respectfully requests that this Amendment be entered and all claims be passed to allowance at this time. In any event, Applicant believes that this Amendment places the claims in better form for consideration on appeal, and should be entered under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116. However, if the Examiner has any further objections to the application, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner contact Applicant's undersigned attorney by telephone at (847) 649-3891 to discuss the remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,



Kenneth Crimaldi  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 40,968

Rohm and Haas Company  
100 Independence Mall West  
Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399  
May 3, 2007